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The insertion of singlet carbenes such as arylchlorocarbenes into the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of amines proceeds via the formation of an ammonium ylide followed by migration of the H atom from the nitrogen to the carbon center. Two mechanisms may be responsible for this H migration: a $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift, shown in Scheme 1a, with a rate constant $k_{\mathrm{sh}}$, and an exchange mechanism, where an amine molecule interacts with the ylide as shown in Scheme 1 b , with a rate constant $k_{\mathrm{ex}}$.
Theoretical calculations ${ }^{1}$ predicted an activation energy equal to $12.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift, giving $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, so with a preexponential factor in the range $10^{12}-10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the rate of the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift at room temperature should be around $10^{3}-10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The ammonium ylide 1 formed from phenylchlorocarbene and diethylamine (DEA) was recently observed by laser flash photolysis: ${ }^{2}$ its lifetime, around 30 ns when [DEA] $\approx$ 0.05 M and decreasing as [DEA] increases, suggests that the exchange mechanism would be largely predominant. However, the rate for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift in 1 may be much larger than the value calculated in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, due either to an activation energy in 1 lower than that in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (substituant effects) or to a tunneling process similar to the one invoked for the rearrangement of methylchlorocarbene. ${ }^{3}$ We now present a measurement of the kinetic parameters for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift in ylide 1 which allows the determination of the relative efficiency of these mechanisms for the ylide rearrangement.
The experimental setup uses a mode-locked Nd-YAG laser ( 200 ps ), frequency tripled ( 355 nm ), and the cell holder was put into a clear Dewar flask where it could be cooled down to -90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by a stream of cold nitrogen gas. The temperature was measured with a thermocouple immersed in the solution. The carbene was produced by laser photolysis of the parent diazirine in isooctane in the presence of DEA at various concentrations ranging from 17 to 114 mM , and the absorption of the ylide was monitored at 340 nm . In most cases (high values of [DEA] and/ or low temperature), the rate of formation of the ylide ( $\approx 2 \times 10^{9}$ [DEA]) is much faster than its decay, so the decay of the transient absorption at 340 nm may be analyzed as a simple first-order process. For the lower values of [DEA] and temperatures greater than $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the rates for formation and decay of the ylide are of the same order of magnitude, and the kinetic analysis procedure used in these cases allows extraction of the real value of the decay rate constant from the experimental curve.

The decay of ylide $1,-\mathrm{d}[1] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{\mathrm{sh}}[1]+k_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{DEA}][1]$, is a pseudo-first-order process since the value of [DEA] is several orders of magnitude larger than the concentration of carbene produced by a laser pulse. Therefore, the observed decay rate
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## Scheme 1


a)

b)

constant is $k_{\text {obs }}=\left(k_{\mathrm{sh}}+k_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{DEA}]\right)$ or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=A_{\mathrm{sh}} \exp \left(-E_{\mathrm{sh}} / R T\right)+A_{\mathrm{cx}}[\mathrm{DEA}] \exp \left(-E_{\mathrm{ex}} / R T\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plots of $\log \left(k_{\mathrm{obs}}\right)$ vs $1 / T$, shown on Figure 1, are not straight lines, indicating that both the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift and the exchange mechanisms are efficient in the considered range of temperature and that their activation energies are different. When the exchange mechanism is predominant, $k_{\mathrm{obs}} \approx A_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{DEA}] \exp (-$ $E_{\mathrm{ex}} / R T$ ), so that, for a given temperature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(k_{\text {obs }}\right)=C+\log ([D E A]) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=\log \left(A_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)-E_{\mathrm{ex}} /(2.303 R T)$. The spacing of the curves obtained with various values of [DEA] should be equal to log([DEA]). This appears to be the case for the "low-temperature" region (right side of Figure 1), whereas the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ depends only slightly on [DEA] when $T>0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Therefore, the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift mechanism must be associated with the large activation energy.

Values of $A_{\mathrm{sh}}, A_{\mathrm{ex}}, E_{\mathrm{sh}}$, and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}$ have been estimated by computer fitting of the sets of experimental data with theoretical curves drawn from eq 1. Several methods have been used:
(a) The five sets of data obtained with the five values of [DEA] were fitted by using the nonlinear least-squares analysis module of GraphPad InPlot, 4 (GraphPad Software Inc.). This program does not optimize the values entered for $A_{\text {sh }}$ and $A_{\mathrm{ex}}$, but, for any couple of values given to these parameters in the ranges (0.4-8) $\times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(0.4-5) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively, the four sets of points corresponding to the four higher values of [DEA] are fitted with the same (within $5 \%$ or less) values of $E_{\text {sh }}$ and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}$.
(b) Each set of data points was then fitted by using a program taken from Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences. ${ }^{4}$ This program adjust all four parameters and gives five sets of values in the following ranges: $(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for $A_{\mathrm{ex}} ; 0.41 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $E_{\mathrm{ex}} ;(1.95 \pm 0.75) \times 10^{12}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for $A_{\mathrm{sh}}$, and $6.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $E_{\mathrm{sh}}$.
(c) The plot of $\log \left(k_{\mathrm{obs}}-A_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{DEA}] \exp \left(-E_{\mathrm{ex}} / R T\right)\right) v s(1 / T)$ (see Figure 2) should give a single line for all the points obtained with the various [DEA] values, with an intercept of $\log \left(A_{\text {sh }}\right)$ and a slope of $E_{\text {sh }} / R$. A good fit between the 84 data points recorded with the four higher [DEA] values and a single line is obtained for $A_{\mathrm{ex}}$ in $(4.2-13) \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}$ in $0-0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, yielding $A_{\text {sh }}=(1.5 \pm 1) \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $E_{\text {sh }}=5.8 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

From these analyses, one can define only rather large confidence intervals such as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{\mathrm{sh}}(2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \quad A_{\mathrm{ex}}=(1.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
E_{\mathrm{sh}}=5.9 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \quad E_{\mathrm{ex}}=0.2 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Figure 1. Arrhenius plots, $\log \left(k_{0 \text { bss }}\right)$ and $\log \left(k_{\mathrm{b} \mathrm{bss}}-5 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ vs $1 / T$, for [DEA] $=17(*), 39.5(0), 59(4), 80(\square)$, and $114 \mathrm{mM}(\bullet)$. Curves are drawn from eq 1 with $A_{\mathrm{sh}}, A_{\mathrm{ex}}, E_{\mathrm{sh}}$, and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}$ given in the figure. Notice the overlap of the two $y$ axis scales. On the righthand border, the circles represent $\log ([D E A])+c($ see eq 2$)$.


Figure 2. Plots of $\log \left[k_{\mathrm{obs}}-A_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{DEA}] \exp \left(-E_{\mathrm{ex}} / R T\right) v s(1 / T)\right.$ for three couples of $A_{\mathrm{ex}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol})$ values. Least-squares analysis of the 84 points (four higher values of [DEA]) yields $A_{\mathrm{sh}}=(4.8,8.3$, or $16.7) \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $E_{3 \mathrm{~h}}=5.34,5.64$, or $6.03 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Notice the change by a factor 2 in the value of $A_{\mathrm{sh}}$ due to a small change ( $5 \%$ ) in the value of $A_{\text {ex }}$. Similar plots may be obtained for all 104 points when $k_{\text {obe }}$ is changed to ( $k_{0 b 4}-5 \times 10^{5}$ ).

When $T<60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and [DEA] $=17 \mathrm{mM}$, the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ is larger than the sum of the rates for the exchange reaction and the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift over the barrier (see upper part of Figure 1). It seems that, in these conditions, another process contributes significantly to the ylide decay. The plots of $\log \left(k_{\text {obs }}-5 \times 10^{5}\right)$ vs $1 / T$ (see lower part of Figure 1) can be nicely fitted with values of $E_{\mathrm{sh}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}$ which are (nearly) the same for the five
values of [DEA] as long as $A_{\mathrm{sh}}$ and $A_{\mathrm{ex}}$ are in the ranges (1-10) $\times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and (1-8) $\times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In a type c analysis (of the three types discussed above) and by using ( $k_{\text {obs }}-5 \times 10^{5}$ ) instead of $k_{\text {obs }}$, a good fit between the 104 data points recorded with the five values of [DEA] and a single line is obtained for $A_{\mathrm{ex}}=(2$ $\pm 1) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $E_{\mathrm{ex}}=0.63 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, yielding $A_{\mathrm{sh}}$ $=(3 \pm 2) \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $E_{\mathrm{sh}}=6.2 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The "correction" of $k_{\text {obs }}$ by $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ modifies significantly the above confidence intervals, which become:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\mathrm{sh}}=(4 \pm 3) \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} & A_{\mathrm{ex}}=(3 \pm 2) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
E_{\mathrm{sh}}=6.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} & E_{\mathrm{ex}}=0.7 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

The third process with a rate constant close to $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, (nearly) temperature independent, at least from $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to -50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, could be the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift by tunneling since: (i) the ylide, which is not a very reactive species, cannot react with any component of the solution (solvent, diazirine, impurity, etc.) with a negligible activation energy and (ii) the rate of this process is very similar to that for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift in methylchlorocarbene at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ which, according to Goodman, ${ }^{3}$ occurs exclusively by tunneling at this temperature.

The preexponential factor for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift, around $1 \times 10^{12}$ or $5 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, is larger than that reported for the rearrangement of benzylchlorocarbene ${ }^{5}\left(\approx 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, methylchlorocarbene, ${ }^{6}$ or ethylidenes ${ }^{7}$ ( $\approx 10^{9}-10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and is close to the limit of the values expected for an intramolecular rearrangement. The measured activation energy is about one-half of the value calculated for the system $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, but the agreement between theory and experiment is quite satisfactory when the large differences between 1 and the model used for calculations are considered.

The very small but steady decrease of $E_{\text {sh }}$ upon increasing [DEA] (see values in Figure 1), if not due to some systematic error, may be tentatively related to an increase of the polarity of the solvent cage surrounding the ylide: according to Platz, ${ }^{7 /}$ a polar environment would decrease the energy barrier for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift.

The value of $E_{\text {ex }}$ is surprisingly low: even if the exchange reaction has no real activation energy, one should find an apparent activation energy around $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ due to the effect of temperature on the diffusion rate constant. As the temperature decreases, the DEA may have some propensity to dimerization and/or aggregation. The value of $A_{\mathrm{ex}}, 10^{2}$ or $10^{3}$ times smaller than that expected for a diffusion-controlled process, most probably indicates a strictly defined geometry for the transition state shown on Scheme 1b. Finally, at room temperature, the rearrangement of ammonium ylide 1 is mainly due to an intramolecular $1,2-\mathrm{H}$ shift.
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